



WARNER NORCROSS & JUDD LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

900 Fifth Third Center

111 Lyon Street, N.W.

Grand Rapids, Michigan 49503-2487

Main Switchboard
616.752.2000

Fax Numbers
616.222.2313
616.752.2500

Fax Cover Sheet

SEP 23 2004
U.S. PATENT
APPEALS
INTERFERENCES

Date:	September 23, 2004	Pages:	5, including this cover sheet
To:	Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	From:	Chad E. Kleinheksel Warner Norcross & Judd LLP
Fax Number:	703-308-7952	Direct Dial:	616.752.2313
Telephone:	703-308-9797	Direct Fax:	616.222.2313
		Email:	ckleinheksel@wnj.com
		Client Number:	11085.68161-003
Remarks:	Re: Serial No. 10/042,738 Appeal No. 2004-2256		

Attached is another copy of our Reply Brief that was filed on August 6, 2004. Also attached is a copy of the Certificate of Mailing certifying that the Reply Brief was filed on August 6, 2004.

RECEIVED
DEC 16 2004
GROUP 3600

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES**

Examiner : Gregory Strimbu
Art Unit : 3634
Applicants : Philip O. Gerard
Serial No. : 10/042,738
Filing Date : May 24, 2002
For : WINDOW FRAME WITH BOTH TEMPORARY AND
PERMANENT CONNECTIONS

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SEP 23 2004
BOARD OF PATENT
APPEALS &
INTERFERENCES

TRANSMITTAL OF REPLY BRIEF

Enclosed in triplicate is the Reply Brief in the above identified application.

Respectfully submitted,

PHILIP O. GERARD

By: Warner Norcross & Judd LLP

**Original Signed By
Charles E. Burpee**

Charles E. Burpee
Registration No. 29 776
900 Fifth Third Center
111 Lyon Street, N.W.
Grand Rapids, MI 49503-2487
(616) 752-2141

1019637-1

RECEIVED
DEC 16 2004
GROUP 3600

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Examiner : Gregory J. Strimbu
Art Unit : 3634
Applicants : Philip O. Gerard
Serial No. : 10/042,738
Filing Date : May 24, 2002
For : WINDOW FRAME WITH BOTH TEMPORARY AND
PERMANENT CONNECTIONS

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPLY BRIEF

The Examiner makes a new additional argument in the Examiner's Answer. This Reply Brief responds to that argument.

I. Hopper Does Not Disclose, Teach, Suggest, or Contemplate Rotating a Frame Half

The Examiner asserts that Hopper discloses rotating one of the frame halves in its own plane between a ship orientation and an install orientation, on the basis that "since applicant has failed to define any element of the invention which the second frame half is rotated with respect to, the second frame half can be moved between the ship and install orientations by simply rotating the whole assembly in its own plane."

The Examiner makes this assertion for the first time in the Examiner's Answer, despite the extensive prosecution history of this continuation application and its parent application. The Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's assertion.

First, Hopper does not suggest or contemplate -- let alone teach -- 1) separate "ship" and "install" orientations or 2) rotating either or both frame halves between such two orientations. The only motivation for the Examiner's assertion is an improper attempted

hindsight reconstruction of the present invention. There simply is no support or motivation, either in Hopper or the art, for the Examiner's assertion.

Second, the claim must be read in the context of the specification and the extensive prosecution history of this continuation application and its parent. For example, the specification states at page 2, lines 20-22 that:

The frame halves can be oriented *with respect to one another* in either a "ship" orientation or an "install" orientation. The frame halves can be moved between the two orientations by rotating *one of the frame halves* by 180 degrees." (Emphasis added).

When properly read within the context of the specification and prosecution history, it is abundantly clear that the rotation of one frame half is respect to the other frame half.

For the reasons stated in this Reply Brief and the previous Appeal Brief, the Applicant remains of the opinion that the Examiners' rejections are improper and should be reversed.

Respectfully submitted,

PHILIP O. GERARD

By: Warner Norcross & Judd LLP

**Original Signed By
Charles E. Burpee**

Charles E. Burpee
Registration No. 29 776
900 Fifth Third Center
111 Lyon Street, N.W.
Grand Rapids, MI 49503-2487
(616) 752-2141

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Examiner : Gregory Strimbu
 Art Unit : 3634
 Applicants : Philip O. Gerard
 Serial No. : 10/042,738
 Filing Date : May 24, 2002
 For : WINDOW FRAME WITH BOTH TEMPORARY AND
 PERMANENT CONNECTIONS

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents
 Commissioner for Patents
 P.O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SEP 23 2004
 BOARD OF PATENT
 APPEALS &
 INTERFERENCES

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that the attached Reply Brief (in triplicate) is being deposited with the
 United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents
 Commissioner for Patents
 P.O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on August 6, 2004.

Respectfully submitted,

PHILIP O. GERARD

By: Warner Norcross & Judd LLP

Original Signed By
Charles E. Burpee

Charles E. Burpee
 Registration No. 29 776
 900 Fifth Third Center
 111 Lyon Street, N.W.
 Grand Rapids, MI 49503-2487
 (616) 752-2141

CEB:pas
 11085.68161-003
 973158-2